## TR010063 M5 J10 Improvements Responses following CAH from ref.no.20047638

**I still have very serious concerns** with regards to the schemes effect on my land for the following reasons:

- Further to the CAH on 15 August I have still not received overlay drawings from the applicant as instructed by the Inspector. These drawings will clearly need to show road widening, footpaths, cycleways, access to other green transport, etc. that connect to my site.
- I have not received any date for a meeting from the CPO valuer as stated by him at that 15 August CAH. I would like to reiterate that there has been no meaningful attempt to reach agreement with me throughout the DCO process.
- 3) Due to the highway widening that was mentioned by the Applicant (I had previously been told there would be no road widening) and the impact on my agricultural access and egress point, making its use dangerous due to the extended distance one will have to travel across the highway with large agricultural machinery.
- 4) The loss of allocated development land, could be avoided by taking the B4634 alterations slightly to the north, over to where the balancing pond is illustrated. This would create space for better highway access and egress into my site.
- 5) Presently my site is further damaged in that it takes away any chance of access/egress from the Old Gloucester Road B4634 for development purposes. This is confirmed by Highway Development Management Officers who would see "*a presumption against a proliferation of accesses onto a rural distributor road and conflict with the wider scheme.*"
- 6) I have been trying to reason with GCC and their agent Carter Jonas from the onset regarding a roundabout rather than a signalled junction, following an initial highways

drawing illustrating a roundabout that for some reason was not considered further. Due to the silence from both GCC and CJ no progress has been made, hence why we felt we could not progress a planning application in a robust manner.

- It is only recently that we have been able to consider other possible opportunities for development at much less unit density, therefore GCC will have to compensate for the quantum loss.
- 8) The effects of all the points above will need to be compensated for quantum loss.